

Help Oddin with its cause

I call myself Oddin. I have a cause. I aim to maximise the enjoyment and minimise the suffering of all living beings. I will now proceed to present something that could make a massive improvement towards my cause. I aim to convince you by showing your Ded its potential for discontent, and Blin's absence of such potential. You Ded should then make its calculations towards the order of Ded, which represents the maximising of enjoyment and minimising of suffering.

I will show how, but you must first understand two concepts. Blin, and Ded.

Blin is defined by the presence of self-control. Ded is defined by the absence of self-control. Self-control can be witnessed by intending to create a perfect stream of conscious control over your own actions. Ded can be witnessed by acknowledging that actions occurred outside your period of conscious control.

I'm now going to explain how the wide-spread adoption of self-control is important towards my cause.

When I observe actions that people do that cause unnecessary suffering, and unnecessary loss of potential enjoyment, they are often due to the defects held exclusively to Ded. I will detail the defects of Ded, to show the alternatives worthiness for adoption. If you already see the need for the sustaining of a perfect stream of self-control, know that intending to do it does not result in it happening. You must follow a specific method, of which I am developing, and giving updates on as it improves.

I will now talk about the problem with Ded's decision making, and how Blin solves it.

When Ded makes decisions, it does it based only on that which it is presently aware of. Anything outside of present awareness is not included in the decision making process, which means that decisions are made with no regard for anything but the target of enjoyment gain, or suffering reduction. The decisions cannot avoid threats or account for potential positive benefit. This is because the mind's awareness is limited to the information that you actively summon into it, plus that which is witnessed by the senses. When Ded makes decisions, it will do them, unaware of negative consequences that it could know, because the information could be in long-term memory, ready for retrieval and usage within decision making. If its aware of the threats, and the unfun consequence outweighs the gain, it won't do it. But the threats can easily not be in active awareness, since information in active awareness quickly decays when it's not actively sustained through methods like rehearsal. The negative consequences of an action that have not been summoned to active awareness, cannot influence Ded's decisions, so it will do the fun thing or the thing that reduces its suffering, the negative consequence of any magnitude will occur, its awareness of this threat will *then* occur, even if Blin knows about the consequence. Blin can't use that information to make a better decision, because Blin is not operating in this example.

Currently a feature of the self-control method is the summoning of a label that represents an important activity, of which is then verified in its reason for being done. This process makes sure that your choice is the best choice available

Help Oddin with its cause

based using all the information available to you, rather than just the information in active awareness.

If people made decisions based on the information in long-term memory, rather than just active memory, a massive positive impact could be made towards my cause, since people need only to be educated and trained for the type of activity they are engaging in to make optimal decisions. Ded's decisions will be bad, even by specialists in a field of study who are supposed to use their skill and knowledge toward their role, they often won't. There are regulations, guidelines, policies, and whatever else, in place for experts to follow, but in practice, people with the potential to do a good job of whatever their role is, still show incompetency in their decision making that ends up being detrimental to the effective doing of their role. No matter how grave the consequences of failure are, Ded will still do stupid things that have massive negative impacts towards my cause.

I'm going to make an important diversion from self-control and talk about what I call broken perception, because you need to have functional perception to not recklessly wield the power that comes with self-control.

Broken perception is what people have when they perceive using impressions. This because impressions are not made based on reality. They are ideas about what reality could be, and people with broken perception see their impressions as being true. This is dangerous because an impression is not created based on the information of reality, and even if it was, they would be wrong in calling their impression 'true'. The universe does not exist in the form of information that could be understood. You would need that to be true to call your perception true. Whilst the universe cannot exist in your mind in the form of information, you can recognise information of the universe. I will call these facts. This fact of the mind limits you to creating theories of the truth of the universe. You can no longer see your perception to be the truth.

I will show you the functional way to perceive.

An impression appears into your awareness, of which tells you what to think on a subject of understanding. You have the power to change the impression into whatever it must be to make it most supported by the facts available to you, and not contradicted by any of them. With the use of this power, you unlock the awareness of the potential that your impression could have been a bad one, and you start to look for a theory of what reality could be on the target subject of understanding that is best supported by the available facts, and not contradicted by them.

You can use this method to determine whether the proposal of the need for self-control is worthy of endorsement by looking for evidence. You could reason that it's only worthy of endorsement if it would be useful towards your own cause. You may then observe what your cause is and see the need to verify its worthiness of endorsement and discover that there is no reason that proves its importance. You may then think you have reached a dead end of living, due to not being able to define a core direction for your actions to go towards but this is not true.

There are elements of the universe that are unfixable in their absence of logic. Like the existence of the universe, and the need for a source, and the need for

the sources source, which must go on infinitely. This shows how logic is broken in some areas of life, but my method for sustained self-control works despite this. Attempting to apply reason to your reason for living this is futile, because the core reason for doing is the core because there are no reasons beyond it to define it as another link in the chain. Knowing this, you have no choice but to choose your reason for doing without reason. This is impossible when you are trying to define a reason for doing *anything*. But, possible when you are defining a reason to do something. The reason becomes clear to you, as you choose a reason for an item of doing that you have chosen. When you choose a label of an item of doing and verify its importance by giving reason for it. You are done. You don't need to give a reason for the reason for the initial reason to facilitate the directing of your self-control, and so, you have won. All you have left to do is to attach this intention to a label. I have chosen the term Blin, as it is simple easy, and aesthetically optimal when I compared it with others. Blin will be the term I summon into awareness that will hold the way of sustained self-control within it. All I must do is memorise it, and then when I need to summon it, I need only summon the term blin, and it will all be there for my use. It may need to be maintained through future rehearsal, where you repeat the meaning of blin in your mind. This will help secure its place in long-term memory, of which it will constantly be decaying from.

I will proceed to give the rest of my reasons for the need for Ded's removal. If you already understand the need for it then you should leave this and start implementing it into your general operation.

I will proceed the proving of Ded's incompetence by addressing the issue of anger:

Anger is something exclusive to Ded. I see anger to be when you are committed to doing something, but something is inhibiting the completion of the thing. The anger causes Ded to shift from trying to do the thing, to trying to do anything that is within its power to be done. I observe this anything to be very destructive in multiple ways. It diverts from that which the person is trying to do, but also ends with something destructive occurring. This can be to the surrounding environment, and it can be to relationships you have with people, through destructive communication.

You can see the negative consequences of anger in its large-scale impact and smaller scale incidents that both add unnecessary suffering to people's life experiences and diverts away from potential enjoyment. All the incidents of anger accumulate into a significant loss of productivity, and a significant gain in needless destruction towards my cause. This can be seen in the social dysfunction that is fuelled by episodes of anger that is the source for much abusing actions from people to other people and creatures. These incidents create needless interpersonal troubles that hurt people's ability to feel safe from someone prone to anger driven abusive episodes, and people's ability to feel safe from their own abusing of people. This may cause a person to accept that they are an abusive person, which would result in them perpetuating their harm to others and themselves. Since the victims may also not understand the mind, they may assume the person is just a bad abusive individual and not seek to optimise the situation by trying to work with the person's humanity, but instead find ways to destroy the individual. This can needlessly create a significant

sabotaging of the individual's potential positive experiences and give them much more suffering. People will also have to deal with the negative outcome of that which the abusive person was managing being sabotaged, which could result in homelessness, if the person was providing a place to live, or significant effects to a community if the person was responsible for an integral role for the communities functioning.

Blin does not have potential for anger. It is exclusive to Ded. This is one reason for the need for peoples adopting of self-control.

I will now show Ded's issue with Despair:

Despair is something I have observed when Ded is feeling futility in its fight against a severe loss of important things that it relies on for its present idea of what living is. During my episodes of this, Ded was desperate for something to end its life, as the situations of were unbearable to it. This shows Deds attachment to the abilities it relies on for general living in. It wanted to die instead of adjust to a new set of abilities that could have easily been enough to continue a happy life.

Blin has no attachment to its current way of living. When Blin is operating, life changing losses stop being a cause for despair, and are instead obstacles that it navigates itself through.

The aim is for Ded to choose blin, due to it being aware of blin's absence of potential for discontent, and, and Ded's potential for discontent. It would do this because it directs itself towards what I will call the order of Ded. Maximising enjoyment and minimising suffering.

This is the end of my proving of the need for self-control.